# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of the Application of	)	PUC Docket 2008-0273		
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	) ) )	J J J B D B D	Z009 J	
Instituting a Proceeding to	)	<u>5</u> €	JAN	
Investigate the Implementation	)	MMIS TO UT	12	_
Of Feed-In Tariffs	) )	SIOIS JELIT	ס	لنأ
	_	<b>一</b>	Ÿ	
		CO	$\mathcal{L}$	

## LIFE OF THE LAND'S

## ANSWERS TO COMMISSION'S THRESHHOLD QUESTIONS

<u>&</u>

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

HENRY Q CURTIS
VICE PRESIDENT FOR CONSUMER ISSUES

KAT BRADY VICE PRESIDENT FOR SOCIAL JUSTICE

76 North King Street, Suite 203 Honolulu, HI 96817 phone: 808-533-3454 henry.lifeoftheland@gmail.com January 12, 2009

Aloha Commissioners,

#### Threshold Issues (Legal)

- 1. If the price associated with a feed-in tariff exceeds the utility's avoided cost, then by definition the utility's customers will incur higher costs than they would in the absence of the feed-in tariff. Please comment on the legal implications of this result. For example:
- a) Is this result permissible under current Hawaii statutes?
- b) Does HRS § 269-27.2 create a ceiling on the feed-in tariff price?
- c) If so, how do the signatories to the Energy Agreement (or other parties to this proceeding) propose to demonstrate that each feed-in tariff price does not violate the statute?

In this docket, all parties agree that the Commission should oppose the building of new fossil fuel power plants exceeding 2 MW in size. This is because all parties recognize that there are real costs (economic, political, social, security, climate change) associated with remaining dependent upon fossil fuels. These costs can be included in avoided cost calculations providing that all parties accept a Commission fee imposed upon the use of fossil fuel, for example, an externality fee, or a greenhouse gas fee or a per oil barrel fee. This could be as simple as updating the Commission's March 11, 2008 Decision and Order No. 24086 in Docket No. 7310 re Avoided Costs. In that docket, the Commission stated that avoided cost could include an externality payment so long as it is a "real" cost. The October Hawai'i-HECO Agreement implies that this is true.

#### Decision and Order No. 24086:

Here, the issue facing the commission is whether environmental externalities should be taken into account by the HECO Companies in determining their respective avoided energy costs. In effect, whether the definition of avoided energy costs should include environmental externalities. (page 59)

FERC instructs that: (1) a state, in setting avoided cost rates, may only account for costs that will actually be incurred by the electric utility; and (2) environmental costs, if they are real costs that will be incurred by the electric utility, may be accounted for in the determination of avoided cost rates. Conversely, a state may not set avoided cost rates by imposing environmental adders that are not based on real costs that will be incurred by the electric utility. (page 60)

The commission finds that, based on the docket record herein and consistent with the guidance provided by FERC, the commission may not set avoided cost rates by imposing environmental adders that are not based on real costs that will be incurred by the electric utility. (page 62)

HRS 269-27.2(c): "[A] public utility shall not be more than one hundred per cent of the cost avoided by the utility when the utility purchases the electrical energy rather than producing the electrical energy. The commission's determination of the just and reasonable rate shall be accomplished by establishing a methodology that removes or significantly reduces any linkage between the price of fossil fuels and the rate for the nonfossil fuel generated electricity to potentially enable utility customers to share in the benefits of fuel cost savings resulting from the use of nonfossil fuel generated electricity."

HRS 269-91. "Cost-effective means the ability to produce or purchase electric energy or firm capacity, or both, from renewable energy resources at or below avoided costs consistent with the methodology set by the public utilities commission in accordance with section 269-27.2."

Establishing a just and reasonable externality fee associated with the use of fossil fuel -- and that is acceptable to all parties -- will result in a higher avoided cost and side-step the issue of the possibility that renewable energy may cost more. The issue that renewables cost more is not our belief, but this mechanism is a way of dealing with HRS and PURPA.

- 2. As with any administrative agency decision, a Commission decision approving a feed-in tariff must be supported with substantial evidence.
- a) Focusing on the price term, what evidence is legally necessary? Consider these options, among others:
- i) evidence of actual costs to develop similar projects in Hawaii
- ii) generic (i.e., non-Hawaii) evidence of costs associated with each particular technology
- iii) evidence that the tariff price results in costs equal to or below the utility's avoided cost
- b) By what process do the signatories (and other parties to this proceeding) propose to gather this evidence and present it the Commission, under the procedural schedule proposed by the signatories?

While we expect the Commission to base its decision on the costs to develop alternatives, we believe that the payments should be sufficient to encourage rapid transition away from fossil fuels. Thus the guiding determination should not be limited to exact costs to the developer, but what payments are sufficient to bring those projects into existence that will further the State's desire to move away from fossil fuel. The price paid should vary dependent upon the availability of applications filed and applications approved.

3. Assume the Commission does create feed-in tariffs, which entitle the seller to sell to the utility at the tariff price.

- a) If the tariff price exceeds the utility's avoided cost, is there a violation of PURPA, provided the seller is relying on a state law right to sell rather than a PURPA right to sell?
- b) If the tariff price exceeds the utility's avoided cost (as calculated prior to the existence of the tariff), could a seller assert a PURPA right to a sale at the tariff price, on the grounds that the utility now has a new "avoided cost" equal to cost it would have incurred under the state mandated feed-in tariff?
- c) If the price associated with a feed-in tariff is less than the utility's avoided cost, what benefit does the tariff offer the developer that is not already available under PURPA?
- d) Please offer any other comments concerning the legal and practical relationship between the feed-in tariff and existing PURPA rights and obligations.

It is our understanding that the utility in some cases has paid above avoided costs, such as to H-POWER. As per HDA's comments, a tariff agreed to by the Seller and Buyer and approved by the Commission may exceed avoided cost, PURPA trumps when state law when the utility is required against its will to pay above avoided cost.

4. Feed-in tariffs, if approved by the Commission, would join an array of legislative and regulatory initiatives to boost production of renewables in Hawaii. Those initiatives include PURPA, the renewable portfolio standard, net metering and various distributed generation actions. Are there overlaps, redundancies, gaps among these multiple initiatives? What is the independent purpose of each of these, in relation to the others?

This question is extremely complex and could take hundreds of pages to answer. In addition, the array of initiatives should also include wheeling and decoupling.

On a somewhat simplistic level, since the goal of the State is to maximize renewable energy penetration, there should be a variety of programs and approaches available. We should be seeking new initiatives rather than getting rid of older initiatives. We are at the start of the Feed in Tariff docket. There are many issues: types of renewables, size, location, etc, that have not been decided upon, therefore any discussion on using Feed in Tariffs to displace existing programs is way premature. In addition, the public thought that Feed in Tariffs may replace existing programs will directly impact the ability of independent power producers to offer these programs to customers during the Feed in Tariff discussions. Therefore the Commission should rule that Feed in Tariffs will add but not replace existing programs.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served a copy by hand delivery of the foregoing Reply to Commission Questions by Life of the Land, in PUC Docket Number 2008-0273, upon the following parties. I have hand delivered the original and 8 copies to the PUC, and sent electronic copies to the emails below representing the parties listed further below.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 465 South King Street First Floor Honolulu, HI 96813

Original + 8 copies

CHUN, MARISA < MARISA. CHUN@HECO. COM>

TO "BROWN, DAN" <DAN.BROWN@HECO.COM>.

CARL FREEDMAN (HAIKU DESIGN & ANALYSIS)" < JCFM@HAWAIIANTEL.NET>,

"CATHERINE AWAKUNI (CA)" < CATHERINE.P.AWAKUNI@DCCA.HAWAII.GOV>,

"CHERYL.S.KIKUTA (CA)" < CHERYL.S.KIKUTA@DCCA.HAWAII.GOV>,

"CHRIS MENTZEL (CLEAN ENERGY MAUI)"

<C.MENTZEL@CLEANENERGYMAUI.COM>,

"CHUN, MARISA" <MARISA.CHUN@HECO.COM>,

"CLIFFORD SMITH (MAUI LAND & PINEAPPLE)" < CSMITH@MLPMAUI.COM>,

"DOUGLAS CODIGA (BLUE PLANET)" < DCODIGA@SIL-LAW.COM>,

"ERIK KVAM (ZERO EMISSIONS)" < EKVAM@ZEROEMISSIONS.US>,

"ESTRELLA SEESE (DBEDT)" <ESEESE@DBEDT.HAWAII.GOV>,

"GERALD SUMIDA (FIRST WIND)" < GSUMIDA@CARLSMITH.COM>,

"GORDON NELSON (CITY & COUNTY)" <GNELSON1@HONOLULU.GOV>,

"GREGG KINKLEY (DBEDT)" < GREGG.J.KINKLEY@HAWAII.GOV>,

"HARLAN KIMURA (TAWHIRI POWER)" <HYK@ALOHA.NET>,

"HENRY CURTIS (LOL)" < HENRY.LIFEOFTHELAND@GMAIL.COM>,

"JOEL MATSUNAGA (HAWAII BIOENERGY)"

<JMATSUNAGA@HAWAIIBIOENERGY.COM>.

"JOHN REI (SOPOGY)" <JREI@SOPOGY.COM>,

"KAT BRADY (LOL)" < KAT.LIFEOFTHELAND@GMAIL.COM>,

"KATSURA, KEVIN" < KEVIN. KATSURA@HECO.COM>,

"KENT D. MORIHARA" < KMORIHARA@MORIHARAGROUP.COM>,

"KIKUTA, PETER Y. @GOODSILL" < PKIKUTA@GOODSILL.COM>,

"KRIS N. NAKAGAWA" < KNAKAGAWA@MORIHARAGROUP.COM>,

"MARK DUDA (HSEA)" <MARK@SUNTECHHAWAII.COM>,

"MATSUURA, DEAN" < DEAN.MATSUURA@HECO.COM>,

"MICHAEL UDOVIC (COUNTY OF HAWAII)" < MUDOVIC@CO.HAWAII.HI.US>,

"MIKE GRESHAM (FIRST WIND)" < MGRESHAM@HAWAII.RR.COM>,

"MOTOKI, ROSELLA" < ROSELLA. MOTOKI@HECO. COM>.

"NATHAN NELSON (FIRST WIND)" < NNELSON@CARLSMITH.COM>,

"RILEY SAITO (SOLAR ALLIANCE)" <RSAITO@SUNPOWERCORP.COM>,
ROD AOKI <RSA@A-KLAW.COM>,
SANDRA WILHIDE <SWILHIDE@MORIHARAGROUP.COM>,
SANDRA WONG <SAWONGLAW@HAWAII.RR.COM>,
"SEU, SCOTT" <SCOTT.SEU@HECO.COM>,
"TED PECK (DBEDT)" <TPECK@DBEDT.HAWAII.GOV>,
"TED ROBERTS (SEMPRA GENERATION)" <TROBERTS@SEMPRA.COM>,
"TIM LUI-KWAN (FIRST WIND" <TLUI-KWAN@CARLSMITH.COM>,
"WARREN BOLMEIER (HREA)" <WSB@LAVA.NET>,
"WILLIAM BRILHANTE (COUNTY OF HAWAII)" <WBRILHANTE@CO.HAWAII.HI.US>

CATHERINE P. AWAKUNI
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
DEPT OF COMMERCE & CONSUMER AFFAIRS
DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY
P.O. Box 541
Honolulu, Hawaii 96809

DEAN MATSUURA MANAGER REGULATORY AFFAIRS HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC. P.O. Box 2750 Honolulu, HI 96840-0001

JAY IGNACIO
PRESIDENT
HAWAII ELECTRIC LIGHT COMPANY, INC.
P. O. Box 1027
Hilo, HI 96721-1027

EDWARD L. REINHARDT PRESIDENT MAUI ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. P. O. Box 398 Kahului, HI 96732

THOMAS W. WILLIAMS, JR., ESQ.
PETER Y. KIKUTA, ESQ.
DAMON L. SCHMIDT, ESQ.
GOODSILL, ANDERSON QUINN & STIFEL
Alii Place, Suite 1800
1099 Alakea Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Counsel for Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc.,
Maui Electric Company, Limited, and Hawaii
Electric Light Company, Inc.

ROD S. AOKI, ESQ.
ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP
120 Montgomery Street
Suite 2200
San Francisco, CA 94104
Counsel for Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc.,
Maui Electric Company, Limited, and Hawaii
Electric Light Company, Inc.

MARK J. BENNETT, ESQ.
DEBORAH DAY EMERSON, ESQ.
GREGG J. KINKLEY, ESQ.
DEPARTMENT OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
425 Queen Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Counsel for DBEDT

CARRIE K.S. OKINAGA, ESQ.
GORDON D. NELSON, ESQ.
DEPARTMENT OF THE CORPORATION COUNSEL
CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU
530 South King Street, Room 110
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

LINCOLN S.T. ASHIDA, ESQ.
WILLIAM V. BRILHANTE JR., ESQ.
MICHAEL J. UDOVIC, ESQ.
DEPARTMENT OF THE CORPORATION COUNSEL
COUNTY OF HAWAII
101 Aupuni Street, Suite 325
Hilo, Hawaii 96720

MR. HENRY Q CURTIS
MS. KAT BRADY
LIFE OF THE LAND
76 North King Street, Suite 203
Honolulu, Hawaii 96817

MR. CARL FREEDMAN HAIKU DESIGN & ANALYSIS 4234 Hana Highway Haiku, Hawaii 96708

MR. WARREN S. BOLLMEIER II PRESIDENT HAWAII RENEWABLE ENERGY ALLIANCE 46-040 Konane Place, #3816 Kaneohe, Hawaii 96744 DOUGLAS A. CODIGA, ESQ. SCHLACK ITO LOCKWOOD PIPER & ELKIND TOPA FINANCIAL CENTER 745 Fort Street, Suite 1500 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Counsel for BLUE PLANET FOUNDATION

MR. MARK DUDA
PRESIDENT
HAWAII SOLAR ENERGY ASSOCIATION
P.O. Box 37070
Honolulu, Hawaii 96837

MR. RILEY SAITO THE SOLAR ALLIANCE 73-1294 Awakea Street Kailua-Kona, Hawaii 96740

JOEL K. MATSUNAGA HAWAII BIOENERGY, LLC 737 Bishop Street, Suite 1860 Pacific Guardian Center, Mauka Tower Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

KENT D. MORIHARA, ESQ.
KRIS N. NAKAGAWA, ESQ.
SANDRA L. WILHIDE, ESQ.
MORIHARA LAU & FONG LLP
841 Bishop Street, Suite 400
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Counsel for HAWAII BIOENERGY, LLC
Counsel for MAUI LAND & PINEAPPLE COMPANY, INC.

MR. THEODORE E. ROBERTS SEMPRA GENERATION 101 Ash Street, HQ 12 San Diego, California 92101

MR. CLIFFORD SMITH
MAUI LAND & PINEAPPLE COMPANY, INC.
P.O. Box 187
Kahului, Hawaii 96733

MR. ERIK KVAM
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
ZERO EMISSIONS LEASING LLC
2800 Woodlawn Drive, Suite 131
Honolulu, Hawaii 96822

JOHN N. REI SOPOGY INC. 2660 Waiwai Loop Honolulu, Hawaii 96819

GERALD A. SUMIDA, ESQ.
TIM LUI-KWAN, ESQ.
NATHAN C. NELSON, ESQ.
CARLSMITH BALL LLP
ASB Tower, Suite 2200
1001 Bishop Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Counsel for HAWAII HOLDINGS, LLC,
dba FIRST WIND HAWAII

MR. CHRIS MENTZEL CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLEAN ENERGY MAUI LLC 619 Kupulau Drive Kihei, Hawaii 96753

MR. HARLAN Y. KIMURA, ESQ. CENTRAL PACIFIC PLAZA 220 South King Street, Suite 1660 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Counsel for TAWHIRI POWER LLC

SANDRA-ANN Y.H. WONG, ESQ.
ATTORNEY AT LAW, A LAW CORPORATION
1050 Bishop Street, #514
Honolulu, HI 96813
Counsel for ALEXANDER & BALDWIN, INC.,
Through its division, HAWAIIAN COMMERCIAL & SUGAR COMPANY

DATED: JANUARY 12, 2008

HENRY Q CURTIS

VICE PRESIDENT FOR CONSUMER ISSUES